Application No. Applicant(s) 10/600,084 AMOS, JAMES A. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Zhiyu Lu 2618 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Zhiyu Lu. (3)Philip Sobutka. (2) Larry Donovan. Date of Interview: 18 June 2007. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Awater et al., Vaisanen et al., and Fishman et al. Agreement with respect to the claims f() was reached. g() was not reached. g() was not reached. g() was not reached. g() was not reached. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed distinctions of the invention over prior arts with an proposed amendment. No agreement was reached. Further consideration and/or search may be needed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

NAY MAUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required